## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

1	١.	,
	ν	٠.

v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
Catalino Reyes	Case Number: <u>09-6133M</u>		
	f), a detention hearing was held on April 7, 2009. Defendant was presen rance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention		
FIN find by a preponderance of the evidence that:	DINGS OF FACT		
	ed States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
	charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
✓ If released herein, the defendant faces	ndant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported		
☐ The defendant has no significant contacts	contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
The defendant has no resources in the Ur to assure his/her future appearance.	no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated ture appearance.		
The defendant has a prior criminal history			
The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	orks in Mexico.		
The defendant is an amnesty applicant substantial family ties to Mexico.	an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has ties to Mexico.		
There is a record of prior failure to appea	in court as ordered.		
The defendant attempted to evade law er	forcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.		
at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in	CLUSIONS OF LAW		
DIRECTIONS	will flee. will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. REGARDING DETENTION Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in		
a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opp of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Go defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of	n persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending ortunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour vernment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the		
IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this dete	ention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to retrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric		
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a the Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the investigate the potential third party custodian.	nird party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and		
DATED this 8 <sup>th</sup> day of April, 2009.			
	\$		
-			
	Com-		
	David K. Duncan		
Unit	ed States Magistrate Judge		